ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES *Kolloid Z.* 1970, 242, 1125

Dr J. Dlugosz for his assistance during Physics of Glassy Polymers', (Ed. R. N. 6 Folkes, M. J. and Keller, A. J. *Polymers*, Caller, A. *Polymers*, A. 1976, 14, 833 the electron microscopic study. Drs 2 Folkes, M. J., Keller, A. and Scalisi, F. P. 7 Folkes, M. J. and Scalisi, F. P. 7 Folkes, M. J. and Scalisi, F. P. 7 Folkes, M. J. and Scalisi, P. 222 M. Hoffmann and H. Kromer, Bayer *Kolloid, Z.* 1973, 251, 1 1971, 12, 222
AG. Leverkusen very kindly provided 3 Keller, A., Pedemonte, E. and Willmouth 8 Meier, D. J. Polym. Prepr. 1970, 11, 400 the samples ot raw material for our F.M. *Nature* 1970, 225, 538; *Kolloid Z.* 1970, 238, 385 studies.
4 Dlugosz, J., Keller, A. and Pedemonte, E.

- We wish to express our appreciation to 1 Folkes, M. J. and Keller, A. in 'The *J. Polym. Sci.* 1973, 11, 929
Dr J. Dlugosz for his assistance during Physics of Glassy Polymers', (Ed. R. N. 6 Folkes, M. J. and Keller, A. J.
	-
- AG, Leverkusen very kindly provided 3 Keller, A., Pedemonte, E. and Willmouth, 8 Meier, D. J. *Polym. Prepr.* 1970, 11, 400
F. M. Nature 1970, 225, 538: Kolloid Z 9 Hoffmann, M., Kämpf, G., Krömer, H.
	-

- Dlugosz, J., Folkes, M. J. and Keller, A.
J. Polym. Sci. 1973, 11, 929
-
- Haward), Applied Science, London, 1973 1976, 14, 833
Folkes, M. J., Keller, A. and Scalisi, F. P. 7 Folkes, M. J. and Keller, A. Polymer
	-

and Pampus, G., *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1971, 99, 351

Kinetics of copolymerizations: 1. Dilatometric investigation of the copolymerizations of benzyl methacrylate, styrene and methyl methacrylate

Deutsches Kunststoff-Institut, Schloßgartenstraße 6R, D 6100 Darmstadt, W. Germany and the possibility of regu-
Received 14 February 1977: revised 27 April 1977) *(Received 14 February 1977; revised 27 April 1977)*

for determination of conversions and $K = K_{11}B_{11} + K_{22}B_{22} + K_{12}B_{12}$ (4) sions cannot be controlled because of the inertia of the cooling system. polymerization rates. The necessary condition is a volume change during involving binary bonding frequencies between shrinkage and conversion (U)

$$
U = \frac{1}{K} \times \frac{\Delta V}{V} \times 100
$$
 (1)

conversion factor. K can be evaluated equation (4) are easily accessible, $\frac{0 \times 10^8}{1000}$ For the system ethyl acrylate and nethyl nethacrylate was
from equation (1) by direct gravimetric styrene wittmer confirmed the con-
Styrene and methyl methacrylate was $\frac{d}{dt}$ determination of the conversion for a styrene, Wittmer confirmed the con-
distilled under nitrogen after removing
stancy of K_{12} . Accordingly it is nose distilled under nitrogen after removing

densities of monomer (ρ_m) and poly-

$$
K = \frac{\rho_p - \rho_m}{\rho_p} \tag{2}
$$

relation: a specially designed dilatometer which specially designed dilatometer which

$$
K = K_1 x_1 + K_2 x_2 \tag{3}
$$

tion (3) and Wittmer⁴ suggested that: \blacksquare A temperature rise due to the

the polymerization and a correlation B_{ij} and conversion factors. The B_{ij} EXPERIMENTAL between shrinkage and conversion (U) values can be easily calculated⁴ from yields the equation¹: the reactivity ratios of a binary system *Materials* and the composition of the actual monomer mixture. K_{11} and K_{22} are the Benzyl methacrylate was prepared
conversion fectors of the respective by esterification of methacrylic acid conversion factors of the respective by esterification of method respective conversion factors of the respective by esterification of method. Immediately behomopolymerizations and K_{12} that of with benzyl alcohol. Immediately be-
the alternating conclumerization stan fore use, it was vacuum distilled under

polymerization of known shrinkage. stancy of K_{12} . Accordingly it is pos-A second method is based on the sible to predict the behaviour of any the stabilizer.
A second method is based on the possible monomer mixture of a co-
Azoisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was repossible indictively in a contract the crystallized twice from ethanol. mer (ρ_p) : the shrinkage for only one monomer mixture and hence calculating K_{12} .
The purpose of the present investignment and *Polymerizations* were carried out in

The purpose of the present investi-
 Polymerizations were carried out
 $\frac{\text{softmax}}{\text{softmax}}$ with AIBN as initiator. gation was to test Wittmer's theory for bulk at 60°C with AIBN as initiator.
the three binary conolymerizations of For determining reactivity ratios, the three binary copolymerizations of For determining reactivity ratios,
benzyl methacrylate (BMA) styrene monomer mixtures were polymerized although it must be noted that for any cussion of the kinetics of the copoly-

particular composition of monomers merizations will be given in another *in vacuo* at 50[°]C to constant weight particular composition of monomers merizations will be given in another *in vacuo* at 50°C to constant weight there must be a corresponding conver- paper. The volume changes during for determination of conversion. The there must be a corresponding conver-
sion factor Bevington *et al* ² used the polymerization have been followed in compositions were found by n.m.r.sion factor Bevington *et al.*² used the polymerization have been followed in compositions were found by n.m.r.-
relation: a specially designed dilatometer which spectroscopy and elemental analysis. has the advantage over others described The polymerizations for kinetic $K = K_1x_1 + K_2x_2$ (3) in the literature^{S-1} in that it is of relative- measurements were followed dilatoly large volume, and allows the reaction metrically. Because of the complicated which is a linear interpolation between mixture to be stirred vigorously; a tem- design of the dilatometer these experithe conversion factors K_1 and K_2 of perature gradient in the vessel can ments could not be carried out in the the two homopolymerizations x_1 thus be avoided and the large volume absence of oxygen. The polymer and x_2 are the mole fractions of the two can lead to substantial vields of poly- samples have been treated as desc can lead to substantial yields of poly- samples have been treated as described components in the copolymer. Most mer even for low conversions. The above.

Dietrich Braun and Gabriele Disselhoff
Deutsches Kunststoff-Institut, Schloßgartenstraße 6B, D.6100 Darmstadt W. Germany dilatometer is the possibility of reguof the polymerizing mixture. The heat of polymerization can be dissipated so INTRODUCTION experimental data^{3,4} do not obey equa- that the reaction proceeds isothermally. Dilatometry is a well known method Γ and Γ are Γ at higher conver-

where V is the initial volume, ΔV is the the alternating copolymerization step. Fore use, it was vacuum distilled under
Apart from K_{12} all quantities in trogen and run over a basic aluminium decrease in volume and K the so-called Apart from K_{12} , all quantities in nitrogen and run over a basic aluminium
occupation (A) are easily accessible oxide column. Boiling point: $85^{\circ}C/1.7$

the stabilizer.

The two equations are valid for benzyl methacrylate (BMA), styrene monomer mixtures were polymerized
mo- as well as copolymerizations, and methyl methacrylate (MMA). Dis- under nitrogen. The resulting polymers homo- as well as copolymerizations, and methyl methacrylate (MMA). Dis-
although it must be noted that for any cussion of the kinetics of the copoly- were precipitated in methanol and dried

Notes to the Editor

Dilatometric measurements. The principle of the recording dilatometer, kindly placed at our disposal by BASF Aktiengesellschaft, has been described elsewhere⁸. The capacity of the glass reaction vessel is about 50 ml *(Figure 1)* and the reaction mixture can be stirred turbulently; it is surrounded by a tempering mantle. Temperature control good enough and for this reason a two- initiator. Polymerization time: 60 min fold thermocouple has been inserted into the reaction vessel, allowing independent regulation and recording of

Figure 1 Principle features of the dilato-
meter vessel

BMA (∇), styrene (∇) and MMA (∇) at 60°C

Methods Table 1 Comparison of conversion factors K from dilatometric and density measurements for the homopolymerizations of BMA, styrene and MMA at 60°C

	calculated from equation (1)	Densities		
		$\rho_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ (g/cm $^3)$	$\rho_{\bm p}$ (g/cm 3)	calculated from equation (2)
BMA	0.192	1.004	1.240	0.190
styrene	0.170	0.871	1.050	0.170
MMA	0.267	0.897	1.208	0.257

by an external thermostat was not *Table 2* Bulk copolymerization of BMA and styrene at 60°C with 0.05 mol % AIBN as

 M_i = moles of component *i* in the monomer mixture; m_i = moles of component *i* in the copolymer

Regulate 7 *Table 3* Bulk copolymerization of BMA and MMA at 60°C with 0.05 mol % AIBN as
initiator

 M_i = moles of component *i* in the monomer mixture; m_i = moles of component *i* in the

 $60.0^{\circ} \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C could be maintained in the reaction mixture. To enable rapid ρ cooling water is controlled by a magnetic valve. (5)

 $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end$ the reaction mixture was cooled by where $\rho =$ densities; $p =$ weight fraction pumping ice water through the cooling of the polymer in solution. tubes. The densitometric investigations The n.m.r. spectra were taken with 0.5 have been carried out with a digital ap- a 100 MHz n.m.r, spectrometer paratus for density measurements⁹ (VARIAN HA 100) using about 10 wt (DMA 02/C, Paar KG, Graz, Austria). $\%$ solutions of the polymers in CC1₄ or Polymer densities were determined CDC13. Analyses of the copolymers $\overline{0}$ $\overline{5}$ IO 15 from measurements on solutions of the were based on the peaks due to the: $U^{(0)}$ homopolymers in the corresponding Figure 2 Relative volume change *versus* monomer and of the copolymers in the conversion for the homopolymerizations of corresponding initial monomer mixture corresponding initial monomer mixtures.
Use was made of the relation:

$$
p_{\text{polymer}} = \frac{p \times \rho_{\text{solvent}} \times \rho_{\text{solution}}}{\rho_{\text{solvent}} - (1 - p) \times \rho_{\text{solution}}}
$$
\n(5)

Figure 3 Relative volume change *versus* conversion for the copolymerization of BMA and ed K-values. styrene at 60°C. (a) $K = 0.165$, $M_1/(M_1 + M_2) = 0.18$; (b) $K = 0.165$, $M_1/(M_1 + M_2) = 0.59$; *Copolymerizations* (c) $K = 0.173$, $M_1/(M_1 + M_2) = 0.77$

Figure 4 Relative volume change *versus* conversion for the copolymerization of BMA and AIBN as initiator; they were based upon MMA at 60°C. (a) $K = 0.248$, $M_1/(M_1 + M_3) = 0.11$; (b) $K = 0.220$, $M_1/M_1 + M_3$) = 0.39; elemental analyses and the method of elemental analyses and the method of

Figure 5 Relative volume change *versus* conversion for the copolymerization of styrene * For simplification the monomers BMA and MMA at 60°C. (a) $K = 0.234$, $M_2/(M_2 + M_3) = 0.22$; (b) $K = 0.227$, $M_2/(M_2 + M_3) =$ have been indexed with 1, styrene with 2 0.44; (c) $K = 0.203$; $M_2/(M_2 + M_3) = 0.76$ and MMA with 3 in the following.

Ho mopo lym erizations

The dilatometric results are represen sion factors are summarized in *Table 1*. 19%) and styrene (17%).

The conversion factors have been checked by density measurements (see *Table 1).* Good agreement is found for BMA and styrene but the values differ slightly for MMA. The reason have involved dilatometrically evaluat-

Determinations of the reactivity ratios. The reactivity ratios have been determined for the systems BMA/ from the literature¹⁰. Tables 2 and 3 show the experimental data for the two

The reactivity ratios have been calculated by a method of Braun et al.¹¹:

$$
r_{12} = 0.53
$$
 $r_{21} = 0.34$ for **BMA**/styrene

$$
r_{13} = 1.06
$$
 $r_{31} = 0.83$ for BMA/MMA

For BMA/styrene, Otsu *et aL 12* reported:

$$
r_{12} = 0.51 \quad r_{21} = 0.44
$$

Fineman-Ross¹³ was used. For the bulk copolymerization of BMA/MMA at 60°C with AIBN as initiator the

$$
r_{13} = 1.05 \quad r_{31} = 0.93
$$

have been published¹⁴, based upon method and application of the method of Fineman- R oss¹³.

Reactivity ratios for the system styrene/MMA have been given¹⁰ as:

$$
r_{23} = 0.52 \quad r_{32} = 0.46
$$

tions were kept quite low in order to satisfy the differential copolymerization equation¹⁵. The experimentally to check monomer composition as a function of conversion by numerical integration of the copolymerization

Table 4 Overall conversion factors K calculated from equation (2) from monomer and copolymer densities for the copolymerizations of BMA/styrene, BMA/MMA and styrene/MMA

 M_i = moles of component *i* in the monomer mixture

Figure 6 Overall conversion factors *K versus* monomer **composition for** (a) BMA/ styrene, K_{12} = 0.157; (b) BMA/MMA, K_{13} = 0.195; (c) styrene/MMA, K_{23} = 0.227. \circ , Experimental points; ——, calculated according to equation (4); $- - -$, calculated ACKNOWLEDGEMENT according to equation (3)

is no appreciable change in monomer merization step according to equation
composition up to about 10% conver-
(4) for the various monomer mixtures gungen for supporting this work. sion for the systems under investigation, leads to values which can be considered There is an azeotropic point for the constant within experimental error. REFERENCES system BMA/styrene but not for BMA/ The mean values are: $K_{12} = 0.157$; MMA. In both cases, random copoly- $K_{13} = 0.195$; $K_{23} = 0.227$. 1 Schulz, G. V. and Harborth, G. mers may be expected, the second The curves for the overall conversion σ system approaching an ideal azeotropic factors K calculated by equation (4) Taylor, P. P. J. Polym. Sci. 1954, 14,

The results of the dilatometric ted data. $1974, 39, 35$
dies of the three binary copoly. The present investigation supports 5 Munzer, M.A. studies of the three binary copoly-
merizations are represented in *Figures* Wittmer's treatment for all three sys- 1970, 11, 15 merizations are represented in *Figures* Wittmer's treatment for all three sys- 1970, 11, 15
The Second of the Second Linux form a knowledge of the ⁶ McGinnis, V. D. and Holsworth, R.M. 3 to 5. There is a linear dependence tems and thus from a knowledge of the 6 McGinnis, V. D. and Holsworth, R.M.
1975, 1976, 1975, 1975, 1976, 1975, 1976, 1975, 1976, 1975, 1976, 1975, 1975, 1975, 1975, 1975, 1975, 1975, 1 of the volume change on the conver-

sion. The evaluated overall conversion inerizations and that of the alternating Colloid Polym. Sci. 1974, 252. sion. The evaluated overall conversion merizations and that of the alternating *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 1974, 252, 491
factors K are plotted in *Figure 6 versus* polymerization step as well as the re- 8 Schuller, H. Angew. Ma factors K are plotted in *Figure 6 versus* polymerization step as well as the re- 8 Schuller, H.
And assume plane as the magnesium of the conversion and the 1968, 2, 64 the corresponding monomer composi-
tions Depending on the system there rate of polymerization can be deter-
tions Depending on the system there rate of polymerization can be deter-
 $\frac{1968}{2}$, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, tions. Depending on the system, there rate of polymerization can be deter-
is a relatively strong deviation from the mined according to equation (1) from 10 Ham, G. High Polymers Volume is a relatively strong deviation from the mined according to equation (1) from $\frac{1}{2}$ the volume change for any monomer linear interpolation \uparrow (equation (3), the volume change for any monomer \downarrow XVIII, Copolymerization', Interscience
here in the K volume of mixture. broken line) between the K-values of mixture.
 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \text{Next,} & \text{Next,} & \text{Next,} \\
 & \text{Next,} & \text{It is the result,} & \text{It is the case of } \\
 & \text{It is the case of } & \text{if } & \text{if } & \text{if } \\
 & \text{If } & \text$

Calculation of the conversion *Density measurements* 12

 (4) for the various monomer mixtures

copolymerization. and the *K_{ij}* values are plotted in 463
Figure 6 and show excellent agreement 3 Braun, D., Ahn, T. O. and Karn, W. *Figure 6* and show excellent agreement Dilatometry between the experimental and calcula- Makromol. Chem. 1962, 33, 154
The results of the dilatometric ted data. **10.** The results of the dilatometric ted data.

factors *K_{ij}* for the alternating poly-
 It is necessary now to consider, *J. Polym. Sci. (C)* 1967, 16, 2121

whether in copolymerizations, as in 13 Fineman, M. and Ross, S. D. J. Polym. whether in copolymerizations, as in t In this case it is not a straight line, as the homopolymerizations, the overall *Sci.* 1950, 5, 259

linear interpolation according to equation (3) conversion factor K can be calculated 14 Bevington, J. C. and Malpass, M conversion factor K can be calculated $\frac{14}{Polym}$, J. 1965, 1, 19
using equation (3) from the densities

 \overline{c} The densities of monomers and poly-- are summarized in *Table 4* together with the corresponding K -values. Comparison with the values in *Figures* Some deviation, however, is understandable in regarding the equation for calculation of polymer densities. It is a question of division by a very small denominator produces a large effect in the overall expression.

The authors thank the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Industrieller Forschungsvereini-

-
-
- *Angew. Chem. (A)* 1947, 59, 90
-
- *Makromol. Chem.* 1962, 53, 154
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- the homopolymerizations. The homopolymerizations of the conversion $\begin{array}{r} 11 \text{ Braun, D., Brendlein, W. and Mott, G.} \\ \text{the homopolymerization of the conversion} \end{array}$ *Fur. Polym. J.* 1973, 9, 1007
Otsu, T., Ito, T. and Imoto, M.
	-
	-
	-
	-

is carried out for the polymer mole fractions,
whilst in Figure 6 the monomer composition using equation (2) from the densities whilst in Figure 6 the monomer composition using equation (2) from the densities 15 Lewis, F. and Mayo, F. J. *Am. Chem.* is plotted as abscissa. of copolymers and monomer mixtures. Soc. 1944, 66, 2050